

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
'United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,277	12/11/2001	Gunnar Hedin	980.1124US01	3024
22865 7	10/27/2003		EXAMINER	
ALTERA LA	W GROUP, LLC	•	VY, HUNG T	
6500 CITY WEST PARKWAY SUITE 100 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55344-7704			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828 DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/014,277	HEDIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Hung T Vy	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she to with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ame	ndment filed on 08/07/2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims 						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		faul &				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.		PAUL IP				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		SORY PATENT EXAMINER				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	NOLOGY CENTER 2800				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1 Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the amendment filed on 08/07/2003, claims 1-50 are pending in this application.

Acknowledges

2. Receipt is acknowledged of the following items from the Applicant.

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 08/11/2003 and made of record as Paper No. 6. The references cited on the PTOL 1449 form have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1,22, 26, 43 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: no structure in order to produce interference pattern, how does a fringe producing optical element cause an interference pattern. Further, the claim is rendered indefinite because the

Art Unit: 2828

claim is not clear where is the detector unit, what is a fringe producing optical element.

The claim is not clear how to produce an interference pattern.

Claims 2-21,23-25, 27-42, and 45-49 depend from rejected claim 1, 21, 26, 43 and 50 thereby render these dependent claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).
- 4. Claims 1-4, 8, 16 and 26-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Green, U.S. patent No. 6,331,892.

Regarding claims 1-3, 8 and 50, Green discloses a laser system, comprising: a laser (100) producing a beam of output light; a detector unit (108); and a fringe-producing optical element (112,122, and 116) disposed in the beam of output light to direct a portion of the beam of output light to the detector unit (108) as a second light beam, an interference pattern being produced in the second light beam by the fringe-

Art Unit: 2828

producing optical element (See fig. 1 and column 4, line 20-53), it is inherent that a light beam collimator disposed on the beam of output light between the laser (108) and the fringe producing element (112, 122, and 116) in order the output light beam at the fringe-producing element is substantially collimated (See fig. 1).

Regarding claims 4, and 16, Green discloses a system, wherein the second light beam includes a first component from a first side (132) of the fringe-producing optical element and a second component from a second side (134) of the fringe-producing optical element, the interference pattern being produces by interference between the first and second components (See fig 1).

With respect to claims 26-49, the methods of stabilizing an operating frequency of an output light beam are considered as product by process steps.

5. Claims 1-4, 8, 16 and 26-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Kalibjian, U.S. patent No. 6,141,136.

Regarding claims 1-3, 8 and 50, Kalibjian discloses a laser system, comprising: a laser (60) producing a beam of output light; a detector unit (22,22'); and a fringe-producing optical element (6) disposed in the beam of output light to direct a portion of the beam of output light to the detector unit (20,20') as a second light beam, an interference pattern being produced in the second light beam by the fringe-producing optical element (See fig. 2), it is inherent that quarter wave plate (24) as a light beam collimator disposed on the beam of output light between the laser (60) and the fringe producing element (6) so that the output light beam at the fringe-producing element is substantially collimated (See fig. 2 and column 3, line 2-29).

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claims 4, and 16, Kalibjian discloses a system, wherein the second light beam includes a first component (8) from a first side of the fringe-producing optical element and a second component (10) from a second side of the fringe-producing optical element, the interference pattern being produces by interference between the first and second components (See fig 1,2).

With respect to claims 26-49, the methods of stabilizing an operating frequency of an output light beam are considered as product by process steps.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7, 9-15 and 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kalibjian, U.S. Patent No. 6,141,136 or Green, U.S Patent No. 6,331,892 in view of Vihelmsson et al., U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0181519.

Regarding claims 18-25, Kalibjuan or Green discloses all limitation of claim expect for an optical communications transmitter unit, a control unit and an optical communication receiver unit, and optical fiber communication link. However, Vilhemsson et al. discloses an optical communications system, comprising: an optical communications transmitter unit (1204) having one or more laser units (1204a, 1204n),

Art Unit: 2828

at least one of the one or more laser units producing a laser output beam and having a wavelength stabilizing unit (140), the wavelength stabilizing unit including a detector unit (128 and 128, and a control unit coupled to receive detection signals from the detector unit and adapted to generate a laser frequency control signal for controlling wavelength of the at least one of the one or more laser units (1204a, 1204n)(See fig 1and paragraph 19), an optical communications receiver unit (1210); and an optical fiber (1208) communications link coupled to transfer optical communications signals from the optical communications transmitter unit to the optical communications receiver unit (See fig. 12 and fig.1), further comprising a series of fiber amplifiers (1216) disposed on the optical fiber (1208) communications link, the series of fiber amplifiers including at least one fiber amplifier unit (1216)(See fig 12), the optical communications transmission unit (1202) includes at least two laser units (1204a, 1204n) operating at different wavelengths and further comprising wavelength division multiplexing elements (1206) to combine light output from the at least two laser units (1204a,1204n) to produce a multiple channel optical communications signal coupled to the optical fiber (1208) communications link, the optical communications receiver unit (1210) includes wavelength division demultiplexing elements (1212) to separate the multiple channel optical communications signal (1214a, 1214b) into signal components of different wavelengths and further includes channel detectors to detect respective signal components (See fig 12). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Kalibjian to have the transmitter, receiver, optical fiber, division multiplexing elements and demulitplexing

Art Unit: 2828

elements as taught by Vihelmsson et al. because those skilled in the art will recognize that such modification and variations can be made without departing from the spirit of the invention.

Regarding claims 5-7, Kalibjuan discloses the claimed invention as respective portion of the interference pattern correspond to regions of different phase of the interference pattern, photo detector array elements (see fig. 2),

Regarding claim 9-15 and 17, Vilhemsson et al. discloses the claimed invention except for different kind of etalon. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have different kind of etalon, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Citation of Pertinent References

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Pub to Green discloses Interferometer for Monitoring Wavelength in an Optical Beam, U.S. Patent No. 6,331,892.

The patent to Yasuda et al. discloses Laser Device With Wavelength

Stabilization Control and Method of Operating the Same, U.S. Patent No. 4,947,398.

Art Unit: 2828

Conclusion

- 8. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Vy whose telephone number is (703) 605-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul IP can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PAUL IP
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2828

October 9, 2003

• Application/Control Number: 10/014,277 Art Unit: 2828

Page 9